

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b></p>	<p><b>Application No.</b> 10/561,115</p>	<p><b>Applicant(s)</b> OHTAKE ET AL.</p>	
	<p><b>Examiner</b> NOBLE JARRELL</p>	<p><b>Art Unit</b> 1624</p>	

**All Participants:**

(1) NOBLE JARRELL.

(2) J. Eric Thies.

**Date of Interview:** 8 May 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Thies agreed to the amendment of the phrase "di-lower" in claim 59 to "di-lower".*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Noble Jarrell/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)